

Swiss Leading House

Economics of Education • Firm Behaviour • Training Policies

Working Paper No. 29

**What is it About Schooling That
the Labor Market Rewards?
The Components of the
Return to Schooling**

Cyril Pasche



Universität Zürich

ISU – Institut für Strategie und Unternehmensökonomik

u^b

b
**UNIVERSITÄT
BERN**

Leading House Working Paper No. 29

What is it About Schooling That the Labor Market Rewards? The Components of the Return to Schooling

Cyril Pasche

June 2008

Die Discussion Papers dienen einer möglichst schnellen Verbreitung von neueren Forschungsarbeiten des Leading Houses und seiner Konferenzen und Workshops. Die Beiträge liegen in alleiniger Verantwortung der Autoren und stellen nicht notwendigerweise die Meinung des Leading House dar.

Discussion Papers are intended to make results of the Leading House research or its conferences and workshops promptly available to other economists in order to encourage discussion and suggestions for revisions. The authors are solely responsible for the contents which do not necessarily represent the opinion of the Leading House.

The Swiss Leading House on Economics of Education, Firm Behavior and Training Policies is a Research Programme of the Swiss Federal Office for Professional Education and Technology (OPET).

www.economics-of-education.ch

What is it About Schooling That the Labor Market Rewards? The Components of the Return to Schooling

Cyril Pasche
University of Geneva, Switzerland

June 10, 2008

Abstract

Research on determining what it is about schooling that the labor market rewards is scarce. This paper shows that when specifically controlling for schooling cognitive skills (*i.e.* the capacity to process information and apply knowledge) and not cognitive skills as a whole, a considerable share of the return to schooling is constituted of cognitive skills. This contrasts with previous research that strongly favored noncognitive skills (*i.e.* behavioral and personality traits) as the key component of the return to schooling. Results show schools are a place where one acquires, or is sorted, on a knowledge and a behavioral criteria in similar shares. Findings also suggest that cognitive skills acquired in school are considerably more likely to be rewarded than their non-schooling counterpart. This effect may be attributed to the signaling value of schooling. Such conclusions give weight to current policies that employ cognitive skill tests to assess schooling quality.

JEL classification: I21; J24; J31

Keywords: Schooling; Cognitive and noncognitive skills; Wages; Rate of return; Omitted variable bias; Signaling.

Department of Economics and “Leading House on the Economics of Education”, University of Geneva, Bd. du Pont d’Arve 40, 1211 Geneva, Switzerland; e-mail address: cyril.pasche@ecopo.unige.ch

This research was supported by the Leading House on the Economics of Education. This paper was presented at the National Conference of Labour Economists, Naples, Italy, September 2007; at the European Association of Labour Economists, Oslo, Norway, September 2007; and at the Society of Labor Economists, New York, United States, May 2008. I thank participants for their comments. I also thank Sandra Black, Ulrich Blum, David Card, Martin Carnoy, Jean-Marc Falter, Yves Flückiger, Herbert Gintis, David Green, Jennifer Hunt, Marcello Olarreaga, Daniel Pasche and Mathias Thoenig for very helpful comments that led to revisions and clarifications.

1 Introduction

Both the human capital and signaling theories hypothesize schooling and skills are positively related, yet they differ in their causal relationship. On the one hand: "Some schools, like those for barbers, specialize in [the production of] one skill, while others, like universities, offer a large and diverse set" Becker (1994). On the other hand: "It [schooling] is productive for the individual, but, it does not increase his real marginal product at all" Spence (1971). Neither the human capital nor signaling theories specify what type of skill, cognitive or noncognitive, is acquired or signaled by schooling.¹ The size of schooling's cognitive and noncognitive components is highly relevant for policy making in the sense it allows to bring into line what is taught at school with what is rewarded on the labor market.

With the notable exception of Gintis (1971), Bowles and Gintis (1976), Bowles *et al.* (2001), and Bowles and Gintis (2002), little research has sought to discover what actually triggers the educational earning premium. Their estimates suggest less than 20% of the return to schooling can formally be assigned to cognitive skills. They attributed the balance to noncognitive skills and not to cognitive skill mis-measurements. If one believes these results, schools primarily function as a place where one acquires or signals personality traits. Such finding is at odds with our educational grading and selection system predominantly based on cognitive tests such as the SAT, the ACT and the GRE.²

With respect to the literature this paper develops an innovative methodology on finding what it is about formal education that the labor market rewards and confronts previous theoretical and empirical results. The innovation is to account for asymmetric information on the labor market. This framework yields two contributions. First, we demonstrate why previous estimates of schooling's cognitive components are downwards biased and em-

¹Cognitive skills are considered as the capacity to process information and apply knowledge. Intelligence Quotient tests and the Armed Forces Qualification Test are adequate measures to assess cognitive skill proficiency. Noncognitive skills relate to behavioral, personality and physical traits. Creativity, perseverance, dependability, consistency, risk-aversion, self-esteem and leadership skills are examples of noncognitive skills.

²The Scholastic Assessment Test, the American College Test and the Graduate Record Examination are standardized cognitive achievement examinations in math, reading, writing and science reasoning that are used for virtually every college and graduate school admission. Seven out of eight Ivy League colleges require cognitive tests in their admission process.

pirically find that half the return to schooling is cognitive. This gives a new insight on the role of cognitive skills when determining wages and shows that today's policies that evaluate educational performance using cognitive skill scores and to increase the cognitive standards of schooling are not futile. Second, by comparing the schooling cognitive skill coefficient with the non-schooling cognitive skill coefficient we develop a signaling measure. Results show that, accounting for asymmetry on the labor market, cognitive skills identical in nature but that originate from a schooling environment are several times more likely to be rewarded than their non-schooling counterpart.

The approach differs from previous methods used to quantify schooling's components by controlling for schooling cognitive skills separately from non-schooling cognitive skills. Such a distinction is done because formal education may not be a perfect screening device, nor the sole learning environment, of cognitive skills and because the return to cognitive skills may depend on their origins. We therefore distinguish people that are highly skilled both inside and outside of school, from those that are solely skilled according to schooling standards, from those that are skilled but lack a diploma to prove it, from those that have low skills whatsoever. This paper does not seek to disentangle the signaling and human capital puzzle, but solely to decompose the *in fine* components of the return to schooling.

The paper unfolds as follows. Section 2 briefly summarizes the relevant literature. Section 3 presents the empirical model and a mathematical development of the predicted conclusions. Section 4 describes the data. Section 5 displays results. Finally, section 6 concludes.

2 Relevant Literature

We borrow from various authors and combine their approaches in order to revisit previous measures of schooling's components. We purposely limit the literature review to papers used to answer our research question. A full literature review may be found in Bowles *et al.* (2001).

2.1 Determining the Components of the Return to Schooling

To measure the cognitive and noncognitive components of the return to schooling requires two regressions. Computing the ratio of the years of school-

ing coefficient, when controlling for cognitive skills, to the years of schooling coefficient, when omitting cognitive skills, yields the "noncognitive" component of the return to schooling. Using 25 American studies, Bowles *et al.* (2001) find that, on average, controlling for cognitive skills reduces the years of schooling coefficient by 18%. Cognitive skills therefore represent less than a fifth of the return to schooling. The remaining 82% of the return to schooling could either be associated with more advanced cognitive skills that are not captured by basic measures or with noncognitive skills. The authors favor the noncognitive skill hypothesis: "The most obvious potential problem - that the cognitive score might be measured with considerably more error than the schooling variable and hence α [the noncognitive component of the return to schooling] is upwards biased- is almost certainly not the case" Bowles *et al.* (2001) and "... these studies provide strong support for the Affective Model [noncognitive skills hypothesis], and indicate that cognitive development is not the central means by which education enhances worker success" Gintis (1971).

2.2 Splitting Cognitive Skills per Origin

Farber and Gibbons (1996) analyze employer learning and wage dynamics. To do so they use the 1979 National Longitudinal Survey of Youth and split the cognitive skill measure between those observed by the labor market (*i.e.* schooling cognitive skills) and those unobserved by the labor market (*i.e.* non-schooling cognitive skills). Their measure of schooling cognitive skills is the fitted component from regressing the total cognitive skill measure on variables observed by the labor market (schooling, part-time status, race, sex and age). The measure of non-schooling cognitive skills is the residual. Data shows the return to schooling decreases with experience. Reversely the return to non-schooling cognitive skills increases, suggesting employers learn on skills initially unobserved by the labor market.

Ishikawa and Ryan (2002) examine the relationship between schooling, schooling cognitive skills, non-schooling cognitive skills and wages by using the 1992 National Adult Literacy Survey. Their results find, for the most part, it is schooling cognitive skills that affect wages. To obtain such a result they, alike Farber and Gibbons (1996), run a two step estimation. In the first step they split the total cognitive skill measure between schooling and non-schooling cognitive skills. They regress the total cognitive measure over the number of years of schooling and schooling type dummies (*e.g.* primary

school or high school).³ In the second step they estimate wages when controlling for schooling type, schooling cognitive skills and non-schooling cognitive skills.

3 Model

This section develops the empirical wage model, presents a measure of schooling's components, suggests a signaling measure, splits cognitive skills into a schooling and a non-schooling part, and demonstrates why previous estimates of the components of the return to schooling are biased in virtually all cases.

3.1 Wages and Schooling's Components

Quantifying the components of the return to schooling requires two estimates: one that omits a measure of cognitive or noncognitive skills, and one that includes such a measure. We begin with the Mincerian wage equation:

$$w_i = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 S_i + \alpha_2 X_i + \varepsilon_i \quad (1)$$

Schooling is S and, years of experience and controls are summed in X . α_1 is the Mincerian return to schooling, it measures the return to both cognitive and noncognitive skills, acquired or signaled by schooling, on wages. For ease of exposition we suppress subscript i .

Wage estimates controlling for cognitive skills are usually formulated as follows:

$$w = \beta_0 + \beta_1 S + \beta_2 TCS + \beta_3 X + \varepsilon \quad (2)$$

TCS is the total cognitive skill measure and β_2 its return. TCS measures all the cognitive skills an individual possess, without distinction from where they were acquired or how they are signaled. As cognitive ability is captured by TCS , β_1 becomes the noncognitive return to schooling.

Following the line of Bowles *et al.* (2001) the components of the return to schooling are measured as follows:

$$\tau = \frac{\beta_1}{\alpha_1} \text{ and } v = 1 - \tau \quad (3)$$

³Family income, geographical region, ethnic group, parents' schooling as well as reading and writing habits at home are also included as control variables.

τ is the "noncognitive" component of the return to schooling and v its cognitive component. If schooling influences wages solely by increasing ones cognitive skills, τ would be zero. In this case the years of schooling coefficient, β_1 in equation (2), drops to zero when one controls for cognitive skills, because the effect of schooling is entirely captured by the cognitive skill variable (*i.e.* schooling noncognitive skills are not rewarded). Conversely, if the effect of schooling on cognitive skills explains none of schooling's contribution to wages τ is equal to one, because the inclusion of the cognitive skill measure does not affect the return to schooling (*i.e.* $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$).

Anticipating further development the ratios of equation (3) appear unbiased in only three cases: (1) cognitive skills are exclusively acquired or signaled by schooling and the return to non-schooling cognitive skills is consequently nil, (2) employers have immediate and perfect information on employees' skills and reward both schooling cognitive skills and non-schooling cognitive skills at the same rate, or (3) schooling yields no cognitive skills and their return is therefore zero.

To obtain an unbiased measure of the components of the return to schooling "The most straightforward is to ask what schools teach and to consider the economic return to the resulting curricular outcomes" Bowles *et al.* (2001). Equation (4) explicitly measures the economic return to schooling cognitive and schooling noncognitive skills:

$$w = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 S + \gamma_2 SCS + \gamma_3 X + \varepsilon \quad (4)$$

The years of schooling coefficient, γ_1 , is the noncognitive return to schooling (the cognitive component of schooling being captured by γ_2). SCS is the cognitive skill score based on schooling curriculum.

The noncognitive and cognitive components of the return to schooling, when controlling for schooling cognitive skills, are approximated as follows:

$$\tau' = \frac{\gamma_1}{\alpha_1} \text{ and } v' = 1 - \tau' \quad (5)$$

The specifications of equations (3) and (5) assume the average contributions of schooling cognitive skills and schooling noncognitive skills are quantitatively constant across years of schooling. This assumption, frequently found in the literature, holds on the following two arguments. First "[...] schools continually maintain their hold on students. As they "master" one type of behavioral regulation, they are either allowed to progress to the next or channeled into the corresponding level in the hierarchy of production" Bowles and

Gintis (1976). Across all levels of schooling, individuals acquire noncognitive skills, from rule-following at primary school, to norm internalization at graduate level. Second, studies with data containing multiple noncognitive skill measures generally fail to identify their schooling or non-schooling origin.

3.2 Signaling Measure

Our final wage estimation includes a measure of non-schooling cognitive skills:

$$w = \delta_0 + \delta_1 S + \delta_2 SCS + \delta_3 NSCS + \delta_4 X + \varepsilon \quad (6)$$

The interest in equation (6) lies in comparing the schooling cognitive skill coefficient, δ_2 , with the non-schooling cognitive skill coefficient, δ_3 , hence leading to a signaling measure. Schooling cognitive skills and non-schooling cognitive skills are both similar in nature as they initiate from the same original cognitive skill measure. Their sole dissimilarity is how they are signaled to employers. Differences between δ_2 and δ_3 should not be interpreted as a difference in the rate of return between these skills, but as a disparity in the odds of them being rewarded by the labor market.

Equation (7) informs us on the odds schooling cognitive skills have in being rewarded over non-schooling cognitive skills:

$$\omega = \frac{\delta_2}{\delta_3} \quad (7)$$

ω is presumably larger than 1 because information is never free, nor immediately obtained. Schooling cognitive skills, δ_2 , are immediately rewarded as they are observed by employers upon hiring. Assuming employers learn over time, non-schooling cognitive skills will gradually become rewarded by the labor market and ω will decrease over time.

3.3 Cognitive Skills per Origin

The challenge when estimating equation (4) is that a schooling cognitive skill measure is generally not available in data. To obtain such a measure we borrow from Farber and Gibbons (1996) and Ishikawa and Ryan (2002):

$$TCS_i = \theta_0 + \theta_1 ST_i + \nu \quad (8)$$

Equation (8) explicitly asks what schools teach, or signal, in terms of cognitive skills. Using the coefficients in equation (8) we obtain a measure of schooling cognitive skills. In order to know what cognitive skills are acquired or signaled in school we do not need to establish a causal relation, but solely a correlation.⁴ Equation (9) informs us that people with a given schooling degree have a given cognitive skill level, but does not disentangle the signaling and human capital puzzle.

$$SCS_i = E(TCS_i|ST_i) \tag{9}$$

Non-schooling cognitive skills are equal to the total measure of cognitive skills minus the schooling cognitive skills measure.

$$NSCS_i = TCS_i - E(TCS_i|ST_i) = \nu \tag{10}$$

The years of schooling covariate is omitted from equations (9) and (10), and substituted by the schooling type dummies for two reasons. First, different curricula that require the same number of years of schooling may yield different cognitive skill proficiency. Second, using the number of years of schooling as an independent variable for estimating both schooling cognitive skills and wages would lead us to a perfect multicollinearity issue in equations (4) and (6).

One could argue that employers can make use of skill tests during the hiring process to measure ability. Although this is true, employers presumably find it less costly to trust the schooling system. The serious works of Farber and Gibbons (1996), Altonji and Pierret (2001) and Lange (2007) all show that employers learn on cognitive skills.

⁴As mentioned in Ishikawa and Ryan (2002), this estimate is not straightforward if one seeks to obtain a causal relationship because of an endogeneity problem. On the one hand, pursuing further schooling may be a screening process in which only those with higher abilities or skills move on to. On the other hand, those with a high level of abilities or skills may be discouraged to pursue further schooling due to the high wages they are offered, at their present level of schooling. The two-way relationship between cognitive skills and schooling could bias, either upwards or downwards, the estimate depending on the relative sizes of these counter-forces. Farber and Gibbons (1996) estimate schooling cognitive skills and non-schooling cognitive skills using an OLS estimate. The results of Charette and Meng (1998), in which instruments' exogeneity is debatable, suggest the impact of schooling on cognitive skills is underestimated in an OLS framework. Conversely the results of Glick and Sahn (forthcoming), based on panel data, suggest the OLS and IV schooling estimates are consistent if not identical in magnitude when estimating cognitive skills.

3.4 The Omitted Variable Bias - What Can we Expect?

The aim of this subsection is to show that our findings in the components of the return to schooling are neither mechanic, nor random, but rely on the omitted variable bias properties. We give both a formal mathematical demonstration and a more intuitive graphical one as to why previous estimates of the components of the return to schooling are biased in virtually all cases.

Using the omitted variable formula, see Greene (2007), and the schooling and cognitive skill coefficients of equations (1), (2) and (4) we obtain the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[\alpha_1|\Omega] &= \beta_1 + \beta_2 \frac{Cov(S, TCS)}{Var(S)} + \beta_3 \frac{Cov(S, X)}{Var(S)} \\
 &= \beta_1 + \beta_2 \left(\frac{Cov(S, SCS)}{Var(S)} + \frac{Cov(S, NSCS)}{Var(S)} \right) + \phi \\
 &= \beta_1 + \beta_2 [\xi + \mu] + \phi
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[\alpha_1|\Omega] &= \delta_1 + \delta_2 \frac{Cov(S, SCS)}{Var(S)} + \delta_3 \frac{Cov(S, NSCS)}{Var(S)} + \delta_4 \frac{Cov(S, X)}{Var(S)} \\
 &= \delta_1 + \delta_2 \xi + \delta_3 \mu + \psi
 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

We make three assumptions on the variables in equations (11) and (12).

- Schooling and cognitive skills are assumed to be non-negatively correlated, consequently $Cov(S, SCS)/Var(S)$ (defined as ξ) is larger than or equal to zero.
- Years of schooling and non-schooling cognitive skills are uncorrelated, consequently $Cov(S, NSCS)/Var(S)$ (defined as μ) is nil. This assumption is nevertheless not straightforward to establish as four different people exist: (1) geniuses with high schooling and high non-schooling cognitive skills ($\mu > 0$), (2) nerds having high schooling cognitive skills, yet low non-schooling cognitive score ($\mu < 0$), (3) self

made people with little schooling, yet high non-schooling cognitive skills ($\mu < 0$) and (4) people with low skills that have little schooling and also little non-schooling cognitive skills ($\mu > 0$). Relaxing this assumption yields some non definite solutions, we therefore prefer to restrain ourselves to the case where $\mu = 0$.

- $\beta_3 \frac{Cov(S,X)}{Var(S)}$ (defined as ϕ) is equal to $\delta_4 \frac{Cov(S,X)}{Var(S)}$ (defined as ψ). Controlling for total cognitive skills or jointly for schooling cognitive skills and non-schooling cognitive skills does not influence the control variable coefficients (*e.g.* years of experience, country of birth...).

Making use of the previous assumptions and the equality of equations (11) and (12):

$$\begin{aligned} E[\alpha_1|\Omega] &= \beta_1 + \beta_2 \cdot \xi + \phi = \delta_1 + \delta_2 \cdot \xi + \delta_3 \cdot \mu + \psi \\ \implies \beta_1 + \beta_2 \cdot \xi &= \delta_1 + \delta_2 \cdot \xi \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The return to total cognitive skills, β_2 , is equal to the weighted return to schooling cognitive skills, δ_2 , and to non-schooling cognitive skills, δ_3 .⁵ Depending on the different return to schooling cognitive skills and non-schooling cognitive skills five situations can occur, see Table (1).

As one sees in Table (1) the cognitive and noncognitive components of the return to schooling, measured in Bowles *et al.* (2001), are truthful in just three cases (*i.e.* $\delta_1 = \beta_1$). In the first case, situation A, cognitive skills solely originate from schools and people have no non-schooling cognitive skills. Consequently $SCS = TCS$, $\delta_3 = 0$ and $\delta_2 = \beta_2$; therefore $\delta_1 = \beta_1$. In the second case, situation C, employers have perfect and immediate information on non-schooling cognitive skills therefore the return to schooling, non-schooling and total cognitive skills are identical. Consequently $\delta_2 = \delta_3 = \beta_2$ and $\delta_1 = \beta_1$. Finally, in situation E, schools yields no cognitive skills whatsoever. As cognitive skills are orthogonal to years of schooling the inclusion of the former does not affect the return to the latter. Consequently $NSCS = TCS$, $\delta_2 = 0$ and $\delta_3 = \beta_2$, leaving $\delta_1 = \beta_1$.

Situation B occurs when the return to schooling cognitive skills is larger than the return to non-schooling cognitive skills. This may occur when

⁵ $\beta_2 = \gamma_2 \frac{SCS}{TCS} + \gamma_3 \frac{NSCS}{TCS}$, with $TCS = SCS + NSCS$ and $SCS, NSCS \geq 0$.

schooling has a positive signaling value and when employers learn on non-schooling skills. Were situation B to occur the noncognitive (cognitive) component of the return to schooling is overestimated (underestimated) when one simply controls for total cognitive skills. This happens because the effect of schooling cognitive skills on wages is underestimated due to the relatively lower return of non-schooling cognitive skills.

Situation D occurs when the return to non-schooling cognitive skills is larger than the return to schooling cognitive skills. This may arise if the schooling system is an inefficient place to acquire or signal cognitive skills and the labor market trusts non-schooling cognitive skills better than schooling cognitive skills. In this situation the noncognitive (cognitive) component of the return to schooling is underestimated (overestimated) when one controls for total cognitive skills. This takes place because the role of schooling cognitive skills is overestimated due to the relatively higher return of non-schooling cognitive skills.

In Figure 1 the curve relates the relative mis-measurement of the components of the return to schooling for the different relative returns in schooling cognitive skills over non-schooling cognitive skills. Situations above the continuous line represent cases where the return to schooling cognitive skills is larger than the return to non-schooling cognitive skills ($\gamma_2 > \gamma_3$); situations below the line represent reverse cases. To the left of the dashed line the noncognitive component of the return to schooling is underestimated according to the method used in Bowles *et al.* (2001); to the right of the dashed line the noncognitive component of the return to schooling is overestimated.

Anticipating our results and making use of the findings of Farber and Gibbons (1996), Altonji and Pierret (2001) and Lange (2007) on the existence of employer learning, we favor situation B in which schooling cognitive skills are better rewarded than non-schooling cognitive skills yet with both returns positive. Consequently $\delta_2 > \delta_3 > 0$ and $\delta_1 < \beta_1$, suggesting the noncognitive component of the return to schooling has been overestimated in most of the previous literature.

4 Data

The Adult Literacy and Lifeskill Survey (ALL) is a cross-section international comparative survey designed to assess the literacy (prose and document), numeracy and problem solving skills of the adult population. The 2003 survey

was conducted in the Bermudas, Canada, Italy, Norway, Switzerland, the United States and the Mexican state of Nuevo Leon. The initial Swiss sample is constituted of 5'120 individuals.⁶ The data was collected in a two part, face-to-face, interview. The first part is a 45 minute nine theme questionnaire on the following subjects: schooling and citizenship; linguistic information; parental information; labor force information; literacy and numeracy; adult schooling and training; numeracy practices, information and communication technology literacy; and household information. The second phase is a written cognitive skill test. The test is graded on an objective and continuous scale. Questions reflect daily challenges individuals are confronted to.

The Swiss schooling system is somewhat similar to the one actually found in Germany with a highly developed vocational schooling system. Mandatory schooling is of nine years (primary and junior high school), after what individuals may pursue further schooling by undertaking a vocational or a general track. Vocational education is accomplished in two stages. The first stage takes between three to four years, depending on the field, and yields a basic vocational training diploma. The second stage takes an additional four years and yields an advanced vocational training diploma. Vocational training consists of one to two days a week at a vocational school, with the remaining three to four days taking place on the job. Over half the people in our sample have undergone vocational training. As of general education, one needs to obtain a general culture or a high school diploma before going to applied science schools, colleges or universities. Cognitive skills acquired by vocational training are more job specific than ones acquired by general schooling.

All three cognitive skill measures are highly correlated; introducing them jointly yields inconsistent and nonsignificant results. The total cognitive skill measure used throughout this paper is the arithmetic average of prose, document and numeracy skills. Problem solving skills are omitted from the total cognitive skill measure, because an important share of the sample did not take this skill test.⁷

The measure of innate "ability" is the result of a factor analysis on how, at age 15, the individuals enjoyed math in school, understood math classes, got good grades and if teachers went to fact. The possible answers were:

⁶Wage information for Canada, the United States and Nuevo Leon is not available in ALL. Among remaining countries, Switzerland has the largest usable sample of workers.

⁷The correlation between the arithmetic average of the prose, document and numeracy skills, and a factor analysis of these skills is of 0.99.

strongly agree, agree, disagree and strongly disagree. Because answers are qualitative one cannot use a principal component analysis, but must use a polychoric factor analysis.

The final sample, restricted to individuals having worked without interruption during the 12 months preceding the interview, is constituted of 1'146 men and 984 women. For part-time workers (less than 40 hours a week) a full time (40 hours a week) standardized wage is computed.

The descriptive statistics in Table 2 show men undergo more years of schooling and have a higher total cognitive skills score.

As predicted by the human capital and signaling theories schooling and cognitive skills are positively related, Table 3. "For each domain, proficiency is denoted on a scale ranging from 0 to 500. Each score denotes a point at which a person has an 80 per cent chance of successfully completing tasks that are associated with similar levels of difficulty" OECD (2005). Five levels of difficulty were defined for prose, document literacy and numeracy. Men and women who have not pursued their formal education further than mandatory schooling have a total cognitive skill score of 248 and 245. A score between 226-275 (level 2) requires people to locate single pieces of information in a text or document, or to understand basic mathematical concepts. Questions at this level consist of finding the number of countries in which the share of female teachers is smaller than 50 percent according to a chart, or to read a gas gauge and estimate the number of remaining liters of fuel. Men and women with a Ph.D. have a score of 319 and 306. A score between 276-325 (level 3) requires people to make links between the text and the questions, or to be capable to perform skills that require numbers and spatial sense. Questions at this level consist of describing, when using charts, the relationship between the sales of fireworks and the number of injuries. A score between 326-375 (level 4) requires people to make multiple feature matches in a text, or to be capable to understand a broad range of mathematical information. Questions at this level consist of determining the relative percentage changes in the amount of dioxin in breast milk across three measures.

Individuals having only accomplished high school have a total cognitive skill score of 250 points or eighty percent of the score of a person with a Ph.D. Such a result either indicates that primary schooling is the most productive educational degree in generating cognitive skills, or that a large share of the cognitive skills people possess are acquired outside the schooling system.

As stated by Ishikawa and Ryan (2002) and Green and Riddell (2003),

cross-section data, such as the one we use, generally lack variables to instrument the potential endogeneity of the years of schooling variable. We therefore prefer not to use instruments rather than to force results out of bad ones. We resign ourselves to control for self-reported "ability" at age 15, but not to use this variable as an instrument.

5 Empirical Results

Our findings show over half the return to schooling is constituted of basic cognitive skills, versus less than 10% using the method developed by Bowles *et al.* (2001). Our signaling measure also shows that cognitive skills that originate from a schooling environment are several times more likely to be rewarded than those that do not originate from a schooling environment. Empirical estimates proceed in reverse order from the one established in the model section. We first separate cognitive skills *per* origin and then run wage equations.

5.1 Separating Cognitive Skills by Sources

The results of regressing schooling variables on total cognitive skills are displayed in Table 4. Ten schooling dummy variables are included in the regression: general culture school (GCS), basic vocational training (BVT), high school (HS), teaching program (TP), advanced vocational training (AVT), applied science school (ASS), bachelor degree (BAC), master degree (MAS), Ph.D. and other. The base category is composed of people having not pursued further schooling than compulsory education.

As predicted by both the human capital and signaling theories Table 4 shows there is a strong and significant correlation between cognitive skills and schooling diplomas. Yet schooling covariates explain just a fifth of the variance in cognitive skills. This finding is consistent with previous research based both on children and adults: "Across almost all the specifications considered, we found that mother's accumulated ability, as measured by the AFQT, and home inputs (contemporaneous and lagged) are substantive determinants of children's test scores in math and reading" Todd and Wolpin (2007) and "The picture that emerges suggests a powerful role for environment in shaping individual IQ" Dickens and Flynn (2001). Controlling for schooling cognitive skills when quantifying the cognitive and noncognitive

components of the return to schooling and not total cognitive skills is closer to reality because it accounts for what schooling truly yields, is not biased by out of school influences and allows asymmetric information to exist.

5.2 Wages, Schooling's Components and Signaling

To compare both estimates of schooling's components and to obtain a signaling measure we run four regressions. Model I, equation (1), the baseline model is the standard Mincerian wage equation. Model II, equation (2), adds the total cognitive skill measure. The schooling coefficients of Models I and II allow us to measure the components of the return to schooling according to Bowles *et al.* (2001). Model III, equation (4), controls for the same variables as estimation I as well as for schooling cognitive skills. Comparing the schooling coefficients of Models I and III yields the components of the return to schooling according to the method developed in this paper. Model IV, equation (6), includes a measure of non-schooling cognitive skills. This allows us to compare the schooling cognitive skill coefficient with the non-schooling cognitive skill coefficient.⁸

5.2.1 Male Estimates

In Model I an additional year of schooling increases wages by 8.0%. This return encompasses the return to both the cognitive and noncognitive skills an additional year of schooling yields. All things being equal, maximum wage is reached after 32 years of labor market experience. Similar results are found when using datasets representative of the full Swiss population.

As predicted by Bowles *et al.* (2001) there is a small, yet statistically significant, drop in the years of schooling coefficient between Models I and II. If one assumes all the cognitive skills people possess are acquired or signaled by schooling, the noncognitive curriculum of an additional year of schooling enhances wages by 6.9%. Consequently the cognitive skills related to an additional year of schooling only increase wages by a mere 1.1% (8.0%-6.9%).

⁸Robustness checks were conducted for all four estimations by including both separately and jointly a blue-white collar dummy, nine activity dummies and fifteen industry dummies. Schooling and skill coefficients remain significant but are smaller in size. The conclusion of this paper remains similar whether collar-color, activity and industry dummies are included or not.

Model III drops the assumption that all cognitive skills are acquired in school and controls for cognitive skills that originate from a schooling environment. The years of schooling coefficient is now a mere 3.9, half its initial value. The schooling cognitive skill coefficient is considerably larger than the total cognitive skill coefficient. This is because schooling cognitive skills are better rewarded, due to signaling, than similar non-schooling cognitive skills.

Table 6 reports the cognitive and noncognitive components of the return to schooling, measured using both the "classical" method and the one developed in this paper.

According to the "classical" model, 87.0% ($=0.067/0.077$) of what the labor market prizes in schooling is its noncognitive component. Bowles *et al.* (2001) find similar results for the US. If one believes this, schools are a place where people acquire noncognitive skills or are sorted on a noncognitive skill criterion. Such an important noncognitive component also suggests that using cognitive skill tests to measure schooling quality is largely erroneous, because cognitive skills represent less than 15% of the private return to schooling. Our results show schooling is central in determining wages and extra schooling must be matched with additional cognitive skills to be truly profitable as 50% of the return to schooling is cognitive. An additional year of schooling, with no change in cognitive skills, increases wages by 4.0%. This same year of schooling with an increase in cognitive skills yields a wage increase of 8.0%. Because we lack information on the acquisition cost of cognitive and noncognitive skills we can not infer on their respective profitability.

The inclusion of non-schooling cognitive skills in Model IV has little effect on the years of schooling and schooling cognitive skill coefficients. When comparing the cognitive skill coefficients one sees that schooling cognitive skills are three times more likely to be rewarded on average than non-schooling cognitive skills (0.181/0.063). Cognitive skills identical in nature, but that originate from different areas are rewarded at totally different rates.⁹

5.2.2 Female Estimates

Results on female wage estimations are always subject to selectivity bias and years of experience mis-measurement. Despite these caveats the comments expressed for men remain globally valid for women and confirm our results on schooling's components. The years of schooling coefficient drops, when we include a measure of total cognitive skills, by less than 10%. The drop is of 56%, when we control for schooling cognitive skills, suggesting less than half the return to schooling is noncognitive.

The cognitive component of the return to schooling, Table 8, is considerably higher than what is found when using the "classical" method. Results suggest more than half of what the labor market rewards in schooling is cognitive.

5.2.3 Multicollinearity Measures

A potential drawback when one controls for years of schooling, schooling cognitive skills and total cognitive skills is whether the variables are multicollinear. To be on the safe side we measure the variance inflation factors (VIF) in all four estimations. A measure of VIF involves examining the R^2 from regressing each independent variable against all the others. The rule of the thumb, see Chatterjee and Hadi (2006), suggests that the VIF value for each variable should remain below 10. In absence of any linear relation between the independent variable, the VIF is equal to one.

Models I and II are canonical wage estimate and their mean VIF is of 3.7, the mean VIF of Models III and IV is within the same range. The inclusion of the schooling cognitive skill and non-schooling cognitive skill variables does not "load" the model with multicollinearity. The VIF of years of schooling and schooling cognitive skills remains well below the critical threshold of 10.

6 Conclusion

Little research has addressed the essential topic of knowing what it is about schooling that the labor market rewards. The answer given by a sole school of

⁹Unreported estimates show the interaction term between non-schooling cognitive skills and years of experience is positive and statistically significant. Reversely the interaction between schooling cognitive skills and years of experience is negative and statistically significant. This suggests learning takes place on the labor market.

economists has been noncognitive skills: schools help produce a well behaved workforce for the labor market. The question matters politically because it bears on the question about what schools should teach and the content of schooling quality tests.

This paper provides theoretical proof that previous measures of the components of the return to schooling were biased in favor of noncognitive skills. Empirical estimates show that the return to schooling is composed both of cognitive skills (*e.g.* the capacity to process information and apply knowledge) and noncognitive skills (*e.g.* behavioral and personality traits) in equal shares. Our results consequently challenge previous research, Bowles *et al.* (2001), that suggest the return to schooling is predominantly noncognitive. Said differently our estimates ensure the capacity to process information and apply knowledge, that originates from schooling, is largely rewarded by the labor market. Consequently less space is given to personality traits such as self-esteem and perseverance. Measures also show that cognitive skills acquired, or signaled, via schooling diplomas are several times more likely to be rewarded than similar cognitive skills acquired elsewhere.

These findings are obtained by splitting the total cognitive skill measure available in the data between schooling and non-schooling ones. This leaves place for a distinction between people with high cognitive skills in both schooling and non-schooling environments; from those with high schooling cognitive skills, but little non-schooling cognitive skills; from those with little schooling cognitive skills yet high non-schooling cognitive skills; from those with poor schooling and non-schooling cognitive skills.

According to the method developed in this paper half of what the labor market rewards in schooling is noncognitive, or cognitive skills not accounted for in the data. The skill measure used in this paper is one of basic cognitive skills, suggesting the cognitive component of the return to schooling measured here may be a lower bound. Advanced cognitive skills, largely job dependent, such as rapid matrix flipping for econometricians or neat snipping for barbers are bound to increase the cognitive component of the return to schooling upwards.

Our findings have direct policy implications as they both validate the use of cognitive skill tests as a measure of schooling quality and promote cognitive skills to take a consequent share of schooling curricula. As a result policies seeking to use cognitive skill tests as schooling quality measures and to increase the cognitive quality of formal education are appropriate.

References

- [1] Altonji, P., Pierret, C., 2001. Employer learning and statistical discrimination. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 116 (1), 313-350.
- [2] Becker, G., 1994. *Human capital: a theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education*. University of Chicago Press. 3rd edition.
- [3] Bowles, S., Gintis, H., 1976. *Schooling in capitalist America*. Basic Books. 1st edition.
- [4] Bowles, S., Gintis, H., 2002. Schooling in capitalist America revisited. *Sociology of Education* 75, 1-18.
- [5] Bowles, S., Gintis, H., Osborne, M., 2001. The determinants of earnings: a behavioral approach. *Journal of Economic Literature* 39 (4), 1137-1176.
- [6] Charette, M., Meng, R., 1998. The determinants of literacy and numeracy, and the effect of literacy and numeracy on labour market outcomes. *The Canadian Journal of Economics* 31 (3), 495-517.
- [7] Chatterjee, S., Hadi, A., 2006. *Regression Analysis by Example*. Wiley-Interscience. 3rd edition.
- [8] Dickens, W., Flynn, J., 2001. Heritability estimates versus large environmental effects: the IQ paradox resolved. *Psychological Review* 108 (2), 346-369.
- [9] Farber, H., Gibbons, R., 1996. Learning and wage dynamics. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 111 (4), 1007-1047.
- [10] Gintis, H., 1971. Education, technology, and the characteristics of worker productivity. *American Economic Review* 61 (2), 266-279.
- [11] Glick, P., Sahn, D., forthcoming. Cognitive skills among children in Senegal: Disentangling the roles of schooling and family background. *Economics of Education Review*.

- [12] Green, D., Riddell, C., 2003. Literacy and earnings: an investigation of the interaction of cognitive and unobserved skills in earnings generation. *Labour Economics* 10 (2), 165-184.
- [13] Greene, W., 2007. *Econometric Analysis*. Pearson. 6th edition.
- [14] Ishikawa, M., Ryan, D., 2002. Schooling, basic skills and economic outcomes. *Economics of Education Review* 21 (3), 231-243.
- [15] Lange, F., 2007. The speed of employer learning. *Journal of Labour Economics* 25 (1), 1-35.
- [16] OECD (Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development), 2005. *Learning a Living: First Results of the Adult Literacy and Life Skills Survey*.
- [17] Spence, M., 1973. Job market signaling. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 87 (3), 355-374.
- [18] Todd, P., Wolpin, K., 2007. The Production of Cognitive Achievement in Children: Home, School, and Racial Test Score Gaps. *The Journal of Human Capital* 1 (1), 91-136.




Figure 1: Graph relating the relative returns to skills and mismeasurements in schooling's components

Table 1: Differences in the returns to cognitive skills

Situation			SCS	NCS	
A	$\delta_2 > 0$ and $\delta_3 = 0$	$\delta_2 = \beta_2$	$\delta_1 = \beta_1$	>0	$=0$
B	$\delta_2 > \delta_3 \geq 0$	$\delta_2 > \beta_2$	$\delta_1 < \beta_1$	>0	>0
C	$\delta_2 = \delta_3$	$\delta_2 = \beta_2$	$\delta_1 = \beta_1$	>0	>0
D	$\delta_3 > \delta_2 \geq 0$	$\delta_2 < \beta_2$	$\delta_1 > \beta_1$	>0	>0
E	$\delta_3 > 0$ and $\delta_2 = 0$	$\delta_2 < \beta_2$	$\delta_1 = \beta_1$	$=0$	>0

E requires cognitive skills to be unrelated to schooling ($\xi = 0$).

Table 2: Sample descriptive characteristics

	Male	Female
Years of schooling	14.56 (3.28)	13.78 (3.30)
Total cognitive skills	290.21 (37.42)	282.19 (34.34)
Years of potential experience	21.46 (11.43)	21.55 (11.44)
"Ability" at age 15 [#]	0.00 (1.00)	0.00 (1.00)
French speaking ⁺	0.29 (0.46)	0.36 (0.48)
Italian speaking ⁺	0.25 (0.44)	0.22 (0.42)
German speaking ⁺	0.46 (0.50)	0.42 (0.49)
Born in Switzerland ⁺	0.82 (0.39)	0.81 (0.39)
Father born in Switzerland ⁺	0.71 (0.45)	0.69 (0.46)
Mother born in Switzerland ⁺	0.69 (0.46)	0.66 (0.48)
Father university degree ⁺	0.22 (0.41)	0.22 (0.41)
Mother university degree ⁺	0.06 (0.24)	0.07 (0.26)
Sample size	1'146	984

Robust standard deviations in parentheses.

[#] standardized measure. ⁺ dummy variables expressed as a sample percentage. Non-weighted.

Table 3: Years of schooling and and total cognitive skills per schooling type

Schooling type	Years of schooling		Cognitive score	
	Male	Female	Male	Female
Junior high school	10.0	9.6	253.7	252.4
General culture school	12.3	12.3	284.7	268.1
Basic vocational training	12.8	12.2	276.1	274.4
High school	13.8	14.4	294.1	288.5
Teaching program	15.7	14.5	301.6	297.1
Advanced vocational training	14.8	14.7	299.3	292.7
Advanced science school	16.2	15.5	310.0	285.6
Bachelor	17.2	16.9	305.4	289.1
Master	18.4	18.4	311.3	305.4
Ph.D.	20.8	21.1	318.9	307.6
Other	14.9	14.2	272.9	288.7

Table 4: Total cognitive skills, OLS regression

	Male	Female
General culture school ⁺	30.99 (10.52)	15.63 (6.01)
Basic vocational training ⁺	22.41 (4.94)	21.98 (3.82)
High school ⁺	40.40 (7.29)	36.04 (5.22)
Teaching program ⁺	47.85 (8.26)	44.60 (5.16)
Advanced vocational training ⁺	45.60 (5.11)	40.18 (4.61)
Applied science school ⁺	56.24 (6.13)	33.17 (8.17)
Bachelor ⁺	51.69 (7.70)	36.58 (7.43)
Master ⁺	57.61 (5.38)	52.97 (4.35)
Ph.D. ⁺	65.12 (5.78)	55.12 (7.90)
Other ⁺	19.16 (12.11)	36.21 (9.32)
Constant	253.73 (4.68)	252.47 (3.52)
Adjusted R ²	0.2078	0.1817

Base category is junior high school.

Robust standard errors in parentheses. ⁺dummy variables.

Number of observations is 1'146 males and 984 females.

Table 5: Log annual earnings, OLS regressions, male

Model:	I	II	III	IV
Years of schooling	0.077 (0.01)	0.067 (0.01)	0.039 (0.01)	0.038 (0.01)
TCS#		0.105 (0.01)		
SCS#			0.174 (0.03)	0.181 (0.02)
NSCS#				0.063 (0.01)
Experience/10	0.677 (0.06)	0.671 (0.06)	0.607 (0.06)	0.614 (0.06)
(Experience/10) ²	-0.106 (0.01)	-0.102 (0.01)	-0.095 (0.01)	-0.093 (0.01)
Constant	9.303 (0.13)	9.483 (0.13)	9.942 (0.14)	9.960 (0.14)
Adjusted R ²	0.3836	0.4075	0.4223	0.4327

Robust standard errors in parentheses. # standardized variables. Additional control dummy variables are place of birth, location of residence, father born in Switzerland, mother born in Switzerland, father university degree, mother university degree and "ability". TCS=Full cog. skills, SCS=Schooling cog. skills and NSCS=Non-schooling cog. skills. Sample size is 1'146.

Table 6: Schooling coefficients and components, male

Components	τ	ν	τ'	ν'
	87.0%	13.0%	50.9%	49.1%

τ and ν are the noncognitive and cognitive components of the return to schooling. $\tau=II/I$, $\nu = 1 - \tau$, $\tau'=III/I$ and $\nu' = 1 - \tau'$.

Table 7: Log annual earnings, OLS regressions, female

	I	II	III	IV
Years of schooling	0.071 (0.01)	0.064 (0.01)	0.031 (0.01)	0.030 (0.01)
TCS#		0.074 (0.02)		
SCS#			0.177 (0.02)	0.184 (0.02)
NSCS#				0.044 (0.02)
Experience/10	0.473 (0.07)	0.475 (0.06)	0.420 (0.06)	0.424 (0.06)
(Experience/10) ²	-0.078 (0.01)	-0.075 (0.01)	-0.070 (0.01)	-0.066 (0.01)
Constant	9.429 (0.12)	9.535 (0.12)	10.083 (0.12)	10.109 (0.12)
Adjusted R ²	0.2517	0.2652	0.3017	0.3063

Robust standard errors in parentheses. # standardized variables. Additional control dummy variables are place of birth, location of residence, father born in Switzerland, mother born in Switzerland, father university degree and mother university degree. TCS=Full cog. skills, SCS=Schooling cog. skills and NSCS=Non-schooling cog. skills. Sample size is 984.

Table 8: Schooling coefficients and components, female

Components	τ	ν	τ'	ν'
	90.1%	9.9%	43.7%	56.3%

τ and ν are the noncognitive and cognitive components of the return to schooling. $\tau=II/I$, $\nu = 1 - \tau$, $\tau'=III/I$ and $\nu' = 1 - \tau'$.

Table 9: Variance inflator factors, VIF

Model:	Male				Female			
	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV
Years of schooling	1.2	1.3	2.4	2.4	1.3	1.4	2.6	2.6
Experience	14.2	14.3	14.8	14.8	14.0	14.0	14.3	14.3
Experience ²	14.4	14.5	14.7	14.7	14.0	14.0	14.2	14.3
TCS	-	1.4	-	-	-	1.5	-	-
SCS	-	-	2.4	2.4	-	-	2.5	2.5
NSCS	-	-	-	1.2	-	-	-	1.2
Mean VIF	3.8	3.7	3.9	3.7	3.8	3.6	3.8	3.6

TCS=Total Cognitive Skills and SCS=Schooling Cognitive Skills NSCS= Non-Schooling Cognitive Skill. Other variables not reported.